

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,587	08/16/2006	Hiroshi Inagaki	1110/98029	2705
24628 7590 11/27/2009 Husch Blackwell Sanders, LLP			EXAM	IINER
Husch Blackwell Sanders LLP Welsh & Katz			KUNEMUND, ROBERT M	
120 S RIVERSIDE PLAZA 22ND FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) INAGAKI ET AL. 10/589,587 Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Robert M. Kunemund 1792 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Period for Reply

Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMITTED BY A CONTRIBUTION OF THIS COMMITTED FOR THIS COMMITTED FOR THIS COMMITTED FOR THE PROVIDENCE OF THE COMMITTED FOR THE PROVIDENCE OF THE COMMITTED FOR THE PROVIDENCE OF THE PROVIDENC	NICATION. va repty be timely filed IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/11/09.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
4)⊠ Claim(s) 2 and 4-6 is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 4-6</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing	ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attack	ned Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 	
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be	en received in this National Stage
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies n	ot received.
Attachment(s)	
	w Summery (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Displosure Statement(e) (FTO/SE/CC) Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____.

Application/Control Number: 10/589,587

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2, 4 to 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber et al. in view of Yoshida (5379717)

The Weber et al reference teaches a method to control growth of silicon, note entire reference. A melt of silicon is doped with boron, or arsenic or phosphorus, note para. 004. The melt is part of a czochralski method of growing silicon ingots. The silicon ingot is grown by czochralski where the pull rate is adjusted or controlled during growth to create a uniform dopant, note claims. The change in pull rate is limited to -.3

Application/Control Number: 10/589,587

Art Unit: 1792

to .3 mm/min. The Weber et al reference differs from the instant claims in the time of change and magnetic field. However, in the absence of unexpected results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to determine through routine experimentation the optimum, operable time of change and use of magnetic fields in the Weber et al reference in order to maintain uniform doping and a uniform melt by the magnetic means, which create uniform melts. Further, the Yoshida reference teaches that diameter of a ingot is a target to be used in controlling growth. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Weber et al reference by the teachings of the Yoshida reference to use the diameter in order to create a uniform ingot which creates uniform wafers.

Response to Applicants' Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 11, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' argument concerning the Weber reference is noted. However, the Weber reference does teach in paragraph 0012 that the crystal movement can be a controlling factor in crystal growth. This does mean that the pull rate is considered by the reference to be a factor and not just a set point. Therefore, the reference does in fact teach the invention as set forth by the instant claims. One of ordinary skill in the art would know that control of the crystal movement means inputting data to the pull

Application/Control Number: 10/589,587

Art Unit: 1792

mechanism controlling the rate. The rate would be controlled between the set rates that are desired by the reference.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Kunemund whose telephone number is 571-272-1464. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Kornakov can be reached on 571-272-1303. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert M Kunemund Primary Examiner Art Unit 1792

RMK

/Robert M Kunemund/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792